OPEN ACCESS



May 2018 ISSN 1996-0778 DOI: 10.5897/AJBR www.academicjournals.org



ABOUT AJBR

The African Journal of Biochemistry Research (AJBR) (ISSN1996-0778) is published Monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

African Journal of Biochemistry Research (AJBR) provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of Biochemistry such as Nutritional biochemistry, Analytical biochemistry, Clinical Biochemistry, Human and Plant Genetics, Molecular and Cell Biology, Enzymology, Toxicology, Plant Biochemistry, Biochemistry Education etc. The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us

Editorial Office: ajbr@academicjournals.org

Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org

Website: http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJBR

Submit manuscript online http://ms.academicjournals.me/

Editor

Prof. Johnson Lin
School of Biochemistry, Genetics, Microbiology and
Plant Pathology
University of KwaZulu-Natal (Westville)
Private Bag X 54001, Durban
Republic of South Africa

Associate Editors

Gregory Lloyd Blatch Dept Biochemistry Microbilogy& Biotechnology Rhodes University Grahamstown 6140 South Africa

Dr. SerapYalin
Mersin University,
Faculty of Pharmacy,
Department of Biochemistry,
YenisehirKampusu,
Mezitli 33161
Mersin/Turkey

Dr. Om Prakash Gupta Directorate of Wheat Research (ICAR) Post Box-158, A grasainMarg, Karnal-132001, Haryana, India

Editorial Board

Dr. Desouky A.M. Abd-El-Haleem Biological Sciences Department, College of Arts and Sciences, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

Dr. S.K. Trigun
Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Section,
Banaras Hindu University
Varanasi-221005,
India

Dr. ImedGallouzi
McGill University,
Biochemistry Department,
3655 Promenade Sir William OslerMontreal,
Quebec, H3G 1Y6,
Canada

Dr. Ashraf A Khalil Protein Technology Lab, Mubarak City for Science, New Borg Elarab, Alexandria, Egypt.

Dr. Stanley Mukanganyama
Department of Biochemistry,
University of Zimbabwe, Box MP 167,
Mount Pleasant, Harare,
Zimbabwe

Prof. Salah A. Sheweita Taibah University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry, PO Box 30001, Madinah, Saudi Arabia

Dr Oluwafemi O Oguntibeju Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Spartan Health Sciences University, P.O. Box 324, Vieux Fort, St Lucia, West Indies

Dr. Robert L. Brown USDA ARS, Southern Regional Research Center 1100 Robert E. Lee Blvd., New Orleans, LA 70124 Dr. Edward Eteshola Biomedical Engineering Center Davis Heart and Lung Research Institute Ohio State University 473 W. 12th Avenue Columbus, OH 43210

G. Suresh Kumar
Senor Scientist and Head
Biophysical Chemistry Laboratory
Indian Institute of Chemical Biology
Council of Scientific and Industrial Research
Jadavpur,
Kolkata 700 032,
India

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO 80523-1870 USA

Mohammed A.A Sarhan Dept. Biological Sciences Faculty of Science King Khalid University Saudi Arabia

MehrdadBehmanesh Department Of Genetics School Of Science P.O.Box 114-175 Tehran Iran Iran

Hans Verhagen P.o Box 1 3720 Ba Bilthoven The Netherlands Netherlands

P.K.Sumodan
Post Graduate Department Of Zoology
Government College Madappally India
India

BalesengMoseki University Of Botswana Botswana Bhaskar C. Behera

Agharkar Research Institute Plant Science Division India

India

Luiz Claudio Miletti

Universidade Do Estado De Santa Catarina

Brasil

Oladipo Gabriel Sunday University Of Port Harcourt Port Harcourt-Nigeria

Nigeria

Basiouny Ahmed El-Gamal Biochemistry Department Faculty Of Science Alexandria University

Egypt

AminigoEbiokpo Rebecca University Of Port Harcourt Portharcourt-Nigeria

Nigeria

JiaZeng

Department Of Bioengineering Central South University

Changsha Hunan 410083 P.R.China

China

Adenike Kuku

ObafemiAwolowo University Department Of Biochemistry

Nigeria

Elsayed Hafez

Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute

Egypt

Gabriella Castoria

Via L. De Crecchio 7 -80138 Naples Department Of General Pathology

Italy

SalwaSeddik Abdel-Latif
21 Elbatal Ahmed Abdel Aziz

Elmohandesien Giza

Egypt

Erasto Vitus Mbugi Muhimbili University Biochemistry Department School Of Medicine

India

Mohamed Rholam

Université Paris7 - Denis-Diderot

France

Hooi Ling Foo

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Malaysia

JayanthRao

Biochemistry And Nutrition

Cftri Mysore India

Maznah Ismail Universiti Putra Malaysia

Svetlana Lutsenko

Oregon Health & Science University

USA

Gabriel Ugwem

Rivers State University Of Science And Technology

P.M.B. 5080 Port Harcourt

Nigeria

HariChhatpar

Dept. Of Microbiology & Biotechnology Centre

Faculty Of Science M.S.University Of Baroda Vadodara 390 002 Baroda India

MahiuddinAlamgir

The University Of New South Wales

Sydney Nsw-2052

Australia

Sheeja Samuel Edwin

B.R Nahata College of Pharmacy & Research Centre

India

William Cho

Room 1305 13/F Block R Department of Clinical Oncology

Queen Elizabeth Hospital 30 Gascoigne Road Kowloon

Hong Kong

Dr. SurainiAbd-Aziz Universiti Putra Malaysia Malaysia

Dr. Mustafa NumanBucak Lalahan Livestock Central Research Institute Lalahan Ankara Turkey

Alparslan Kadir Devrim Department Of Biochemistry Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Kafkas University 36040 Kars Turkey

Vasudev R. Thakkar Sardar Patel University Brd School of Biosciences Sardar Patel University Nagar

Prof. Emmanuel Anosike Department Of Biochemistry University Of Port Harcourt Nigeria

Dr. Usama Beshay New Bourg El-Arab City, Research Area Alexandria 21934 Egypt

Dr. Ramar Perumal Samy Department of Anatomy Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine National University of Singapore Singapore

Dr. Shin-ichi ONO Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacy College of Pharmacy, Nihon University Japan

Prof. Lawal Bilbis Biochemistry Department UsmanuDanfodiyo University Sokoto Nigeria

Dr. Adriana G. Chicco Department of Biochemistry University of Litoral, Santa Fe Argentina Prof. Zia-Ur Rahman

Department Of Physiology and Pharmacology

University Of Agriculture

Falsalabad

Pakistan

Dr. Oluwole Ariyo Allen University USA

Prof. Francisco Torrens Institut Universitari de Ciència Molecular Universitat de València Spain

Prof. Belkhodja Moulay University of Senia Oran Algeria

Dr. Hossam M Ashour

Department of Microbiology and Immunology

Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University

Egypt

Dr. Fidelis Ocloo Biotechnology and Nuclear Agriculture Research Institute/GAEC Ghana

Ass. Prof. Alfonso Baldi Dept. Biochemistry, Sect. Pathology Second University of Naples, Italy

Dr. Anandh Babu Pon Velayutham
Department of Human Nutrition
Foods and Exercise 253 Wallace Hall Virginia Tech Blacksburg
VA 24061
USA

Dr. Tapan K. Chaudhuri
Department of Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, HauzKhas
New Delhi-110016, India.

Dr. Rong Zhang Shenyang Pharmaceutical University China Ass. Prof. Tzong-Jih Cheng Department of Bio-Industrial Mechatronics National Taiwan University Taiwan

Dr. Zuyong Xia Department of Radiology, 1201 Welch Rd, Room P089, Stanford, CA 94301 USA

Dr. Pratap Kumar Das Indian Institute of Chemical Biology India

Dr. Vasudeo Pandharinath Zambare Advanced Enzyme Technologies Ltd India

Dr. A M Mujumdar Agharkar Research Institute India

Prof. Christine Clayton ZMBH ImNeuenheimer Feld 282 69120 Heidelberg Germany

Prof. Rekik Boul baba
ESA Mateur
Département des sciences et techniques de productions
animales
Tanzania

Dr. Farhad Mirzaei National Dairy Research Institute, NDRI Karnal India

Dr. ROUABHI Rachid Biology Department Tebessa University. Algeria

Prof. Vaclav Vetvicka University of Louisville USA Dr. Ramesh Putheti, Ph.D Research scientist Actavis Pharmaceuticals 10065 red run blvd,owings mills Blvd,Maryland.USA.21030

Prof. Dr. Mustafa NAZIROGLU

Head of Department of Biophysics

Medical (TIP) Faculty, SuleymanDemirel University

Cunur, TR-32260 Isparta

TURKEY

Dr. José Luis Arias Mediano
GrupoInvestigaciónFarmaciaPráctica (CTS-205)
Dept. Farmacia y TecnologíaFarmacéutica
Facultad de Farmacia
Campus Universitario de Cartuja, s/n Universidad de
Granada
18071 Granada.

Ahmed Malki, PhD
Lecturer of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology
Biochemistry Department
Fcaulty Of Science
Alexandria University
Alexandria,
Egypt

Dr. Alireza Seidavi (PhD)
Assistant Professor of Animal and Poultry Nutrition,
Department of Animal Science,
College of Agriculture,
Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch,
Rasht, Iran

Amani S. Awaad Professor of pharmacognosy, Chemistry Department Faculty of Sciences, King Saud University . Riyadh. KSA. P.O. Box 22452, Riyadh 11495. Saudi Arabia

Dr. Abdel-TawabMossa
Environmental Toxicology Research Unit (ETRU),
Pesticide Chemistry Department,
National Research Centre,
Dokki,
Egypt

Dr. Amal A. Mohamed

Plant Biochemistry Department,

Agriculture Division - National Research Center,

31-El-Tahrir St.,

Dokki,

Cairo – Egypt

Dr. Anabella Gaspar Department of Biochemistry, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Dr. Anna Janecka
Department of Biomolecular Chemistry,
Medical University of Lodz,
Mazowiecka 6/8,
92-215 Lodz,
Poland

Dr. Caser Abdel Horticulture Department, Dohuk University, Iraq

Dr. David Sheehan Dept Biochemistry, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr. Dayananda Chandrappa
Center for Bioenergy,
Department of Life and Physical
Sciences,
Cooperative Research,
Lincoln University,
Jefferson City,
USA

Dr. Elsayed Abdelaal Special Graduate Faculty, University of Guelph, Onatrio, Canada

Dr. Etienne Marbaix
CELL Unit,
de Duve Institute,
UCL-75.41, 75 avenue
Hippocrate,
B-1200 Bruxelles,
Belgium

Dr. Gary L. Firestone
Department of Molecular and Cell Biology,
University of California,
Berkeley,
CA, 94720,
USA

Dr. Henryk Zielinski Institute of Animal Reproduction and Food Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland

Dr. Irshad A. Nawchoo Department of Botany, University of Kashmir, India

Dr. LuchaiButkhup
Department of Biotechnology,
Faculty of Technology,
Mahasarakham University,
Mahasarakham 44000,
Thailand

Dr. LuminitaVladescu
Department of Analytical Chemistry,
Faculty of Chemistry,
University of Bucharest,
Romania

Dr. Mira Debnath School of Biochemical Engineering, Institute of Technology - Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India

Dr. Nilesh S. Panchal Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot-360005, Gujarat. India

Dr. Rayappa A. Balikai University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka- 580 005, India Dr. SaadTayyab Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Dr. Shijun Fu
Institute of Health Sciences,
Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences,
Chinese Academy of Sciences and Shanghai Jiao Tong
University School of Medicine, Shanghai,
P. R. China

Dr. Shiming Zhang Weis Center for Research, Geisinger Clinic, Danville, Pennsylvania, USA

Dr. Thomas Efferth
Department of Pharmaceutical Biology,
Institute of Pharmacy and Biochemistry,
University of Mainz, Heidelberg,
55128 Mainz,
Germany

African Journal of Biochemistry Research

Table of Contents: Volume 12 Number 4 May 2018

ARTICLE

Biochemical characterisation of soybean germplasms with respect to bioavailable iron and zinc, vitamin A and crude protein Joseph Ikwebe, Titilayo Akande and Francis N. Onyezili

40

Vol. 12(4), pp. 40-44, May 2018 DOI: 10.5897/AJBR2016.0881 Article Number: DB1B2A357442

ISSN: 1996-0778 Copyright ©2018

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJBR



African Journal of Biochemistry Research

Full Length Research Paper

Biochemical characterisation of soybean germplasms with respect to bioavailable iron and zinc, vitamin A and crude protein

Titilayo Akande¹*, Francis N. Onyezili² and Joseph Ikwebe³

³Department of Biochemistry, Federal University, Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria.

Received 22 January, 2016; Accepted 25 April, 2016

Soybean germplasms were assessed for bioavailable zinc (Zn) and iron (Fe), vitamin A and crude protein using standard methods. Thirty soybean germplasms were investigated. Results were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and showed statistically significant differences in their Zn, Fe and vitamin A concentrations, as well as in crude protein content (P < 0.05). The Zn content ranged from 5.74 \pm 0.04 to 18.15 \pm 0.05 mg/100 g. TGX 1987-62F had the highest amount of Zn (18.15 \pm 0.05 mg/100 g), while TGX 1485-1D had the least Zn concentration (5.74 ± 0.04 mg/100 g). The concentration of the Fe ranged from 6.65 ± 0.05 to 11.58 ± 0.05 mg/100 g with TGX 1987-62F showing the highest (11.58 ± 0.05 mg/100 g) and TGX 1987-10F the least (6.65 ± 0.04 mg/100 g) Fe concentrations. Investigation of the vitamin A content showed that TGX 1951-4F had the highest (8.83 ± 0.03 mg/L), while TGX 1989-53F had the least (2.48 ± 0.01 mg/L) vitamin A levels. Crude protein content ranged from 35.40 ± 0.09 to 57.92 ± 0.02%. TGX 1989-11F (57.92 ± 0.02%) showed the highest crude protein content, while TGX 1990-55F showed the least crude protein content (35.40 ± 0.09%). The germplasm TGX 1987-62F consistently showed high levels of crude protein, Zn and Fe concentrations, but moderate levels of vitamin A, whereas TGX 1989-11F and TGX 1951-4F had the highest levels of crude protein and vitamin A, respectively. No direct correlation with earlier observed genetic and morphological variabilities were observed in terms of bioavailable Fe and Zn, vitamin A and crude protein levels in these soybean germplasms. These genotypes can be widely used in the food industry for the commercial production of high quality protein diet for both human and animal consumption, and can be recommended as good sources of the micronutrients Fe, Zn and vitamin A.

Key words: Soybean, diversity, micronutrients, crude protein, iron, zinc, vitamin A.

INTRODUCTION

Soybean [Glycine max.(L.) Merrill] is a leguminous annual vegetable belonging to the pea family Fabaceae that grows in the tropical, subtropical, and temperate regions of the world. Nigeria is the leading producer of soybean in sub-Saharan Africa, accounting for 90% of

the 437,115 metric tonnes production (FAO, 2012). China and United States of America are the world's largest producers (FAO, 2011). Soybean represents a major source of edible vegetable oil and proteins, containing more than 36% protein, 30% carbohydrates, 20% oil and

¹Department of Biological Sciences (Biochemistry Unit), College of Science, Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria.

²Department of Biological Sciences (Biochemistry Unit), College of Science, Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria.

excellent amounts of dietary fibres, vitamins and minerals (IITA, 2009). Soybean protein provides all the essential amino acids in the amounts needed for human health. The amino acids profile of soy protein is nearly equivalent in quality to meat, milk, and egg protein.

Malnutrition, particularly protein deficiency is prevalent in many parts of Africa as animal protein is beyond the reach of the majority of the population. Alternative protein sources can be found in many leguminous crops, but soybean is the only available crop that provides an inexpensive and high quality source of protein comparable to meat, poultry and eggs (IITA, 2009). But, alongside protein malnutrition is also micronutrients malnutrition which perhaps is equally devastating. Jajda et al. (2015) recently defined micronutrients as essential elements needed in small amounts for adequate human nutrition and include iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn). Deficiency of these micronutrients has a significant impact on children as well as on the economic development of communities and nations. These deficiencies can lead to serious health problems, including wasting, reduced resistance to infectious diseases, Fe deficiency anaemia, blindness, lethargy, reduced learning capacity, mental retardation and in some cases, death (Singh, 2004; Black et al., 2008).

Zn for example, is widely recognized as an essential micronutrient with a catalytic role in over 100 specific enzymes in human metabolism (Cousins, 2004). It is one of the most ubiquitous of all trace elements involved in human metabolism and plays multiple roles in the perpetuation of genetic materials including transcription of DNA, translation of RNA, and ultimately in cellular division.

Fe is one of the trace minerals that play vital roles in the body. In foods, Fe occurs in two forms- ferrous and ferric, but the absorption form of Fe is only in the ferrous state. Fe is important in reactions involving energy release in the body (oxidation and reduction reactions). It is a component of oxygen-carrying compounds e.g. haemoglobin and myoglobin. If Fe deficiency is not corrected, it leads to anaemia, which is the most common nutritional disorder in the world. The prevalence of Fe and Zn deficiency in resource-poor regions is exacerbated by a reliance on staple food crops which have low bioavailability of the minerals (Akomo et al., 2016).

Vitamin A plays an important role in vision, bone growth, reproduction, cell division and cell differentiation, and also helps to regulate the immune system (Ross, 1998). Vitamin A promotes healthy surface linings of the eye and the respiratory, urinary and intestinal tracts (Semba, 1998). It also helps to maintain the integrity of skin and mucous membranes, which function as

barriers to bacteria and virus infections (Ross, 1998). Deficiency of vitamin A in children affects their ability to resist diseases such as diarrhoea, measles and acute respiratory infections. Other consequences of vitamin A deficiency include night blindness and susceptibility to infection by disease-causing microorganisms, among others (Ross, 1998).

Soybean is hailed as the most protective bean because it has the highest protein content amongst plant products and is the only vegetable food that contains all eight essential amino acids. Investigations of the vitamins and minerals levels in soybean have been documented. Khalid et al. (2008) reported that calcium (Ca) content of soybean ranged from 268.75 to 293.0 mg/100 g, copper (Cu) ranged from 1.2 to 1.37 mg/100 g, Fe from 9.04 to 13.32 mg/100 g, magnesium (Mg), 261.0 to 296.0 mg/100 g, manganese (Mn), 3.38 to 4.94 mg/100 g, sodium (Na), 11.9 to 15.11 and Zn ranged from 3.75 to 4.02 mg/100 g. A significant amount of micronutrients. especially Fe, Zn and Ca are complexed with antinutritional factors like phytates, oxalates, tannins and polyphenols (Paul et al., 2004), consequently, inhibiting their bioavailability and bioabsorbability (Norhaizan and Nor Faizadatul, 2009; Hunt, 2003) in foods. Legumes like soybean are however reputed to be particularly high in phytates and oxalates (Weaver and Plawecki, 1994).

Earlier, Omoigui et al. (in press) had assessed the genetic and morphological characteristics of the 30 genotypes used in this study using RAPD markers and 10 morphological characters. The results had revealed 70% polymorphism. Cluster analysis for both genetic and morphological assessment placed the germplasms into 5 groups at an agglomerate coefficient of 0.6 (similarity level) and average distance of 0.75, respectively. A significant lack of correlation was observed between the RAPD markers and the morphological traits evaluated. Although, both analyses grouped the soybean genotypes into 5 clades, the composition of the individual clusters were very variable and divergent with the correlation between the largest clusters in the molecular and morphological characterisation at only 35 to 42%. Hence, the current work was intended to evaluate the extent to which these genetic and morphological variabilities affect the concentrations of crude proteins and the essential bioavailable micronutrients, Fe and Zn, and vitamin A in the soybean germplasms under investigation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soybean germplasms (30) (Table 1) used in this study were obtained from Molecular Biology Laboratory, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. They represented several independent breeding sources from International Institute of

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: titilayomi2013@gmail.com. Tel: +2348020790765.

Tropical Agriculture- IITA.

Determination of Zn and Fe content

Dried seeds of the soybean germplasms were ground into fine powder using mortar and pestle in the first instance, and then with an electric blender (Binatone). The ground materials were then passed through a 1 mm sieve and stored in air tight glass containers at room temperature until required. The ground soybean materials were digested using wet digestion method. 1 g of each sample was placed in 300 Kjeldahl digestion flasks and to it was added 10 ml of 95% concentrated nitric acid, 0.5 ml of sulphuric acid (98%) and 1 ml of 70% perchloric acid. The mixture was then digested initially at a moderate heat and later more vigorously until evolution of white fumes. The digest was then allowed to cool, filtered and transferred to a 50 ml volumetric flask. The volume of the solution was made up to the mark with distilled water, and then transferred to a bigger flask. Zn and Fe concentrations were determined using Unicam Atomic Absorption Spectrophotomer, model 290B following A.O.A.C (1990) with absorbance read at 213 and 248 nm, respectively.

Determination of vitamin A

One gram each of ground soybeans was placed in test tubes. 5 ml of propanol (98%) was added and allowed to stand for 10 min to extract properly. The samples were filtered and 1 ml of each filtrate was transferred into a cuvette and absorbance read at 325 nm using a UV - Visible spectrophotometer following A.O.A.C (1990). The absorbance was proportional to the vitamin A content in the sample.

Determination of crude protein content

Total protein content was determined by Kjedahl method (A.A.O.C.,1998). 2 g of each sample was weighed into 100 ml Kjedahl flask and a few anti-pumping granules were added. 8 g of a mixed catalyst (CuSO4 and Na2SO4) (anhydrous) and 30 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid were added. The flask was placed on a Kjeldahl digestion flask rack and heated until a clear solution was obtained. At the end of the digestion, the flask was cooled and the digest was transferred to a 100 ml volumetric flask and made up to the mark with distilled water. 5 ml of the digest was measured into a Markham semi micro nitrogen steel tube and 7 ml of 50% NaOH solution was cautiously added. The digest was steam-distilled liberating ammonia into a 100 ml conical flask containing 5 ml of 1% boric acid and a drop of methyl red-methyl blue indicator was added until the colour changed from pink to green. 20 ml was then collected and titrated with 0.1 M HCl. The end point was indicated by a colour change from green to pink and the volume (V) of the acid for each sample was noted. Percentage nitrogen per sample was calculated using the Equation 1:

% Nitrogen = Titre x
$$0.0014 \times 100$$
/Weight of sample (2 g) (1)

The crude protein was calculated as:

Protein (%) =
$$6.25 \times \%$$
 Nitrogen (2)

Statistical analysis

All the measurements were analysed statistically using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 12.0 and

the results expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Analysis of the differences in the measured parameters was performed using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistically significant difference was determined at P < 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

with nutritional compositions reference bioavailable Zn and Fe, vitamin A and crude protein of thirty soybean germplasms were determined. The Zn content of the soybean germplasms ranged from 5.74 ± $0.04 \text{ to } 18.15 \pm 0.05 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g. TGX } 1987-62F \text{ had the}$ highest amount of Zn (18.15 ± 0.05 mg/100 g), while TGX 1485-1D had the least Zn composition (5.74 \pm 0.04 mg/100 g) as shown in Table 1. Each entry represents a mean of three readings. The concentration of Fe ranged from 6.65 ± 0.05 to 11.58 ± 0.05 mg/100 g TGX 1987-62F had the highest Fe concentration (11.58 \pm 0.05 mg/100 g) while TGX 1987-10F recorded the least Fe concentration $(6.65 \pm 0.04 \text{ mg/}100 \text{ g})$. The values for Zn and Fe of the soybean germplasms were higher than the values for Zn $(8.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg/}100 \text{ g})$ and Fe $(9.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg/}100 \text{ g})$ reported by Odumodu (2010). Vitamin A content assessment of the soybean germplasms showed that TGX 1951-4F had the highest vitamin A content (8.83 ± 0.03 mg/L), while TGX 1989-53F had the least vitamin A content (2.48 ± 0.01 mg/L). Each entry also represents a mean of three readings.

Crude protein analysis showed values ranging from 35.40 ± 0.09 to $57.92 \pm 0.02\%$. TGX 1989-11F ($57.92 \pm 0.02\%$) showed the highest crude protein content, while TGX 1990-55F showed the least crude protein content ($35.40 \pm 0.09\%$). Generally, the soybean germplasms had significantly higher protein content than reported in the literature, even recently (37.53 to 40.20%) by Ari et al. (2012). In addition, this result gives credence to the report of Maidala et al. (2013) who reported crude protein levels ranging between 40.13 and 56.66%.

The genotype TGX 1987-62F consistently showed high levels of crude protein, Zn and Fe concentrations, but moderate levels of vitamin A (3.013 \pm 0.02 mg/L). Similarly, the highest level of crude protein was observed in the genotype TGX 1989-11F, but the same showed only moderate concentrations of Fe, Zn and vitamin A. Furthermore, TGX 1951-4F had the highest levels of vitamin A observed; the genotype was moderate for Fe, Zn and crude protein.

The higher values of Fe, Zn, vitamin A and crude protein observed in this study may be as a result of the soybean germplasms being hybrids developed and released by IITA for yield improvement, better nutritional content and resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses.

The soybean germplasms showed statistical differences that were highly significant (P< 0.05) in their Zn, Fe and vitamin A concentrations, as well as in crude protein content as shown in Table 2. A clear trend observed is that the soybean germplasms investigated

Table 1. Zn, Fe, vitamin A and crude protein concentrations in the germplasms.

Genotype	Fe (mg/100 g)	Zn (mg/100 g)	Vit A (mg/L)	Crude protein (%N) [Mean ± SEM]	
	[Mean ± SEM]	[Mean ± SEM]	[Mean ±SEM]		
TGX 1989-11F	7.45 ± 0.05^{bc}	7.64 ± 0.04^{e}	$5.05 \pm 0.09^{\text{n}}$	57.92 ± 0.02^{t}	
TGX 1990-110FN	9.45 ± 0.05^{d}	10.25 ± 0.05^{1}	2.67 ± 0.01^{b}	$50.20 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	
TGX 1989-42F	10.35 ± 0.05^{e}	11.55 ± 0.05^{n}	$5.40 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	39.87 ± 0.02^{b}	
TGX 1990-95F	6.85 ± 0.05^{a}	$7.35 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	5.89 ± 0.03^{r}	44.54 ± 0.02^{J}	
TGX 1989-45F	9.22 ± 0.02^{d}	10.56 ± 0.04^{m}	3.77 ± 0.01^{g}	51.50 ± 0.50^{q}	
TGX 1989-53FN	8.78 ± 0.02^{cd}	14.50 ± 0.00^{t}	2.48 ± 0.01^{a}	41.84 ± 0.04^{e}	
TGX 1993-4FN	9.32 ± 0.02^{d}	12.25 ± 0.05^{r}	4.46 ± 0.01 ^{kl}	48.54 ± 0.20^{m}	
TGX 1989-75FN	7.41 ± 0.01^{a}	11.79 ± 0.01^{q}	4.17 ± 0.04^{j}	53.80 ± 0.01^{r}	
TGX 1990-78F	10.25 ±0.05 ^e	11.05 ± 0.05^{n}	7.55 ± 0.01^{s}	44.14 ± 0.02^{i}	
TGX 1990-114FN	9.10 ± 0.10^{d}	12.55 ± 0.05^{s}	3.64 ± 0.08^{f}	48.28 ± 0.03^{m}	
TGX 1987-62F	11.58 ± 0.05 ^f	18.15 ± 0.05^{u}	3.01 ± 0.02^{c}	45.53 ± 0.03^{1}	
TGX 1448-2E	9.22 ± 0.03^{d}	10.11 ± 0.01 ^k	4.63 ± 0.02^{1}	43.73 ± 0.03^{h}	
TGX 1989-40F	8.75 ± 0.05^{cd}	9.53 ± 0.03^{j}	3.54 ± 0.04^{e}	49.20 ± 0.01 ⁿ	
TGX 1990-52F	7.55 ± 0.05^{bc}	$11.53 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	$3.05 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	45.32 ± 0.01^{1}	
TGX 1989-48FN	7.75 ± 0.05^{bc}	$11.64 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	4.26 ± 0.02^{j}	55.92 ± 0.02^{s}	
TGX 1990-40F	9.10 ± 0.10^{d}	7.53 ± 0.03^{d}	4.37 ± 0.03^{k}	50.58 ± 0.02^{p}	
TGX 1989-49FN	9.64 ± 0.04^{d}	11.64 ± 0.04^{p}	3.46 ± 0.01^{d}	44.87 ± 0.02^{k}	
TGX 1990-57F	7.62 ± 0.02^{bc}	11.21 ± 0.01 ⁿ	3.53 ± 0.05^{e}	39.62 ± 0.01^{b}	
TGX 1989-68FN	8.70 ± 0.10^{cd}	8.81 ± 0.01^{g}	4.04 ± 0.02^{i}	42.51 ± 0.01 ^f	
TGX 1990-46F	8.53 ± 0.03^{cd}	7.62 ± 0.02^{e}	4.10 ± 0.02^{ij}	$40.35 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	
TGX 1990-55F	7.75 ± 0.05^{bc}	7.61 ± 0.01^{e}	4.16 ± 0.00^{j}	35.40 ± 0.09^{a}	
TGX 1987-10F	6.65 ± 0.05^{a}	7.55 ± 0.05^{d}	3.84 ± 0.00^{h}	43.37 ± 0.01^{g}	
TGX 1835-10E	$8.10 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$	8.93 ± 0.03^{h}	5.70 ± 0.01^{q}	40.08 ± 0.02^{b}	
TGX 1485-1D	9.10 ± 0.10^{d}	5.74 ± 0.04^{a}	4.21 ± 0.00^{j}	41.78 ± 0.03^{e}	
TGX 1945-1F	7.20 ± 0.10^{b}	6.72 ± 0.02^{b}	5.46 ± 0.04^{p}	44.17 ± 0.04^{i}	
TGX 1951-3F	8.10 ± 0.10^{c}	6.82 ± 0.02^{b}	4.88 ± 0.01^{m}	45.03 ± 0.03^{k}	
TGX 1935-3F	6.90 ± 0.60^{a}	7.85 ± 0.05^{f}	4.10 ± 0.06^{i}	40.87 ± 0.01^{d}	
TGX 1904-6F	8.05 ± 0.05^{b}	7.12 ± 0.02^{bc}	4.48 ± 0.00^{kl}	53.80 ± 0.01^{r}	
TGX 1951-4F	9.45 ± 0.05^{d}	9.35 ± 0.05^{i}	8.83 ± 0.03^{t}	45.55 ± 0.05^{1}	
TGX 1955-4F	$10.40 \pm 0.10^{\text{f}}$	7.62 ± 0.02^{e}	$5.07 \pm 0.00^{\text{n}}$	44.54 ± 0.02^{j}	
CV	14.43	27.34	29.56	11.50	
LSD	0.86	0.10	0.095	0.275	

Means on the same column with different superscript are statistically different from each other at (P < 0.05). SEM: Standard error of means; CV: coefficient of variation; LSD: least significant difference; Soybean varieties with the same superscript(s) show no statistical difference while soybean varieties with different superscript(s) are statistically different at P < 0.05.

Table 2. Analysis of variance for nutritional composition of soybean genotypes.

Source of variation	DF	Fe	Zn	Vitamin A	Crude Protein
Genotype	29	2.9629**	14.4575**	3.5339**	66.2081**
Error	30	0.1785	0.0025	0.0022	0.0181
Total	59	-	-	-	-

^{**} Highly significant at P < 0.05; DF = Degree of freedom.

showed ample variation of Fe, Zn and vitamin A accumulation as well as crude protein. The variations in the investigated parameters consistently correlated with the observed genetic diversity of the germplasms earlier

studied. Evaluation of the genetic diversity of the soybean genotypes (Ikwebe et al., in press) using RAPD markers had grouped them into 5 clusters, the compositions of which were very variable and divergent. Although,

previous studies (Karr-Lilienthal et al., 2004; Nahashon et al., 2011) have demonstrated that variations in nutritional quality (Fe, Zn and proteins) of soybean could be a function of geography, growing conditions, etc., the soybean germplasms in the present study were grown in the same controlled environment. Hence, it would appear that the various germplasms inherently possess different abilities to accumulate certain nutrients. It must however, be mentioned that, soybean is generally reputed to be high in phytate and oxalate (Weaver and Plawecki, 1994) which potentially could affect demonstrable levels of Fe and Zn (phytate levels especially, is the subject of a follow up to this article). Nevertheless, the focus here relates to measurable levels of unchelated Fe and Zn which are bioavailable.

CONCLUSION

The concentrations of crude proteins and the essential micronutrients, Fe, Zn and vitamin A in the 30 soybean germplasms studied were very varied and showed no direct correlation with the earlier observed genetic and morphological variabilities. The genotype TGX 1987-62F consistently showed high levels of crude protein, Zn and Fe concentrations, but moderate levels of vitamin A, whereas TGX 1989-11F and TGX 1951-4F had the highest levels of crude protein and vitamin respectively. The results also demonstrate that significant levels of Fe and Zn remain unchelated by anti-nutritional agents like phytic acid, and are hence, bioavailable. Nevertheless, an understanding of the phytate-chelated Fe and Zn is required for a more holistic picture of the total Fe and Zn in the germplasms. The genetic and morphological variabilities earlier observed also affect the concentrations of crude proteins and the essential bioavailable micronutrients, Fe and Zn, and vitamin A in the soybean germplasms under investigation. These genotypes can be widely used in the food industry for the commercial production of high quality protein diet for both animal consumption, and and recommended as good sources of the micronutrients Fe. Zn and vitamin A. Also, this study would serve as a bench mark for the identification of potential parents for breeding programme. Public enlightenment on the nutritional importance of these soybean germplasms studied would help enhance their acceptability.

Conflict of interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

Akomo PO, Egli I, Okoth MW, Bahwere P, Cercamondi I, Zeder C, Njage PMK, Owino VO (2016). Estimated iron and zinc bioavailability

- in soybean-maize-sorghum ready to use foods: effect of soy protein concentrate and added phytase. Journal of Food Processing Technology 7(2):1-5.
- Ari MM, Ayanwale BA, Adama TZ, Olatunji EA (2012). Evaluation of the chemical composition and anti-Nutritional factors (ANFs) levels of different thermally processed soybeans. Asian Journal of Agricultural Research 6:91-98.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) (1990). Official Method of Analysis, 15th Edition, Washington D.C., USA.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) (1998). Official Method of Analysis, 17th Ed. Washington D.C., USA.
- Black RE, Allen LH, Bhutta ZA, Caulfield LE, De Onis M, Ezzati M, Mathers C, Rivera J (2008). Maternal and child undernutrition: global and regional exposures and health consequences. The Lancet 371(9608):243-260.
- Cousins RJ (2004). Zinc, Present Knowledge in Nutrition, 7th Ed. Washington, DC. ILSI Press.
- Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (2011). FAO Statistics Division, FAOSTAT database.
- Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (2012). FAO Statistics Division, FAOSTAT database.
- Hunt JR (2003). Bioavailability of iron, zinc, and other trace minerals from vegetarian diets. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 78:633-639.
- International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) (2009). Genetic Resources for Tropical Agriculture, Pamphlet. P 32.
- Jajda HM, Patel KG, Patel SR, Solanki VH, Patel KN, Singh S (2015). Comparative efficacy of two standard methods for determination of iron and zinc in fruits, pulses and cereals. Journal of Food Science and Technology 52(2):1096-1102.
- Karr-Lilienthal LK, Grieshop CN, Merchen NR, Mahan DC, Fahey GC Jr (2004). Chemical composition and protein quality comparisons of soybeans and soybean meals from five leading soybean-producing countries. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry 52:6193-6199.
- Khalid AI, Elsiddig AEE, Elfadi EB (2008). Minerals composition of soybean (*Glycine max*. L.) seeds as influenced by *Brady rhizobium* inoculation and chicken manure or sulphur fertilization. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 7(6):793-800.
- Maidala A, Doma UD, Egbo LM (2013). Effects of different processing methods on the chemical composition and anti-nutritional factors of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill]. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 12(12):1057-1060.
- Nahashon SN, Kilonzo-Nthenge AK (2011). Advances in soybean and soybean by-products in monogastric nutrition and health, soybean and nutrition, In Tech, El-Shemy H (Ed.). ISBN: 978-953-307-536-5. Available at: http://www.intechopen.combooks/soybean-and-nutrition/advances-insoybean-and-soybeanby-products-in-monogastricnutrition-and-health
- Norhaizan ME, Nor Faizadatul Ain AW (2009). Determination of phytate, iron, zinc, calcium contents and their molar ratios in commonly consumed raw and prepared foods in Malaysia. Malaysian Journal of Nutrition 15(2):213-222.
- Odumodu CU (2010). Nutrient and anti-nutrients content of dehulled and soybean. Continental Journal of Food Science 4:38-45.
- Omoigui LO, Akande T, Ikwebe J (2016). Morphological variability and molecular characterisation of soybean genotypes using RAPD markers. African Journal of Biotechnology (in press).
- Paul I, Turner RE, Ross D (2004). Nutrition. Jones and Barlett Publishers.
- Ross AC (1998). Vitamin A and public health: challenges for the next decade. Proceeding of Nutrition Society 57:159-165.
- Semba RD (1998). The role of vitamin A and related retinoids in immune function. Nutrition Review 56:538-548.
- Singh M (2004). Role of micronutrients for physical growth and mental development. Indian Journal of Pediatrics 71(1):59-62.
- Weaver CM, Plawecki KL (1994). Dietary calcium: adequacy of a vegetarian diet. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 59:1238-124

Related Journals:

















